

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 8483 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 16.09.2020

Baljinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.7 dated 20.01.2020, registered under Sections 306/34 IPC at Police
Station Kot Isse Khan, District Moga.

The operative part of the order dated 27.02.2020, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that
the victim consumed poison suspecting an affair of his
wife with co-accused, namely, Jass Singh. According to
him, it was the wife of the victim who introduced the said
co-accused to the husband and therefore, the said act
cannot be construed as a driving factor for abetment to
commit suicide. According to him, the petitioner is the
mother-in-law of the victim and therefore, has, at least, no
role in the alleged crime.*

Notice of motion for 15.7.2020....”

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 27.02.2020, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 27.02.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.09.2020

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No