

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6589-1995

Date of decision: 25.2.2020

Surinder Kumar Punni**.....Petitioner.****vs.****Union of India and others****.....Respondents.****CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR**

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 4.

.....**Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)**

As Mr. Maharaj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, was stated to have expired during the pendency of this case, the Registry was directed to issue notice to the petitioner.

However, the Registry now reports that the notice sent to the petitioner was returned with the endorsement '*no person with such name in that branch*'.

In the light of the afore-stated facts, it is clear that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this case as he has not taken any steps to engage a counsel even after the death of the learned counsel on record.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.

No order as to costs.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

25.2.2020

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no