

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**  
**101**

**CRM-W No. 930 of 2020 in/and  
CRWP No. 2152 of 2020  
Date of Decision: 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2020**

Vikram

....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR  
JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

\*\*\*\*\*

**Dr. S. Muralidhar, J.**

**CRM-W No. 930 of 2020**

1. This is an application praying for advancing the hearing of the main petition (CRWP-2152-2020) filed by the Petitioner, seeking furlough.

2. With the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the main petition (CRWP-2152-2020) seeking furlough is taken up for hearing today itself. The application is disposed of.

**CRWP No. 2152 of 2020**

3. There were two grounds on which the Petitioner's request for release on furlough was refused. One of them was that he was found in possession of a mobile phone in the jail premises on more than one occasion. However, the same is no longer valid since according to Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, the Petitioner has been acquitted in each of the FIRs registered against him as a result thereof.

4. Nevertheless, the second ground still survives, which is that the Petitioner was found to have quarreled with other inmates in the District Prison, Narnaul in 2018, for which he was punished with separate confinement for one month by the Jail Superintendent. In terms of Section 4(1)(b) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988, he should have earned at least three annual good conduct remissions. It is accordingly submitted that in the Petitioner's case, he can be considered for furlough only 3 years from the date the above punishment was confirmed by the District and Sessions Judge, Narnaul i.e. 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

5. In that view of the matter, the request of the Petitioner for release on furlough cannot be considered at this stage.

6. This petition is dismissed.

**(S. MURALIDHAR)  
JUDGE**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)  
JUDGE**

**25<sup>th</sup> September, 2020  
jk**

Whether speaking/reasoned    Yes/No

Whether reportable                Yes/No