

CWP No. 5095 of 2020

Date of Decision: February 26, 2020

Guddi and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Bhuvnesh Lakhera, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The impugned order is dated 14.09.2016, thus, the writ petition is barred by delay and latches.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Manoj Kumar predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners was pursuing the case and because he passed away some delay has taken place. The case may be considered sympathetically.

On being asked regarding the date of death of aforementioned Manoj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he passed away in the year 2019. Thus, it is evident that Manoj Kumar himself was not interested in challenging the impugned order. No writ petition was filed by him till the year 2019 and consequently, the petitioners can not draw any benefit from his death.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed on account of delay and latches.

February 26, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**