

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

CWP No.5244 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: February 27, 2020

SANYOGITA NEHRA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that 3rd year of BA course being done by her through correspondence, is nearing its end, yet, the study material has not been made available to her. Respondent No.2 is not complying with the Regulations of the year 2017 framed by respondent No.3.

2. However, the petitioner has not sent any demand notice either to respondent No.2 or to respondent No.3.

3. Thus, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat this writ petition as a demand notice and to redress the grievance of the petitioner by passing a speaking order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 27, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No