

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.2024 of 2020  
Date of Decision: 25.02.2020**

|                                       |                         |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Satinder Pal Singh and another</b> | <b>.....Petitioners</b> |
| <b>Vs</b>                             |                         |
| <b>State of Punjab and others</b>     | <b>.....Respondents</b> |

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Petitioners in-person along with  
Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

By way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions for the protection of their lives and personal liberties from being violated by private respondents.

Petitioner No.1 is 20 ½ years of age and has not attained marriageable age and has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 on 19.02.2020 according to their voluntary act, but against the wishes of their family members. They have relied upon some documents/photographs in the context of validity of marriage. They have also moved a representation dated 20.02.2020 (Annexure P-7) to the Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage without meaning anything upon the allegations and without commenting upon validity of marriage vis-a-vis majority of the petitioners, I am of the view that the petitioners be relegated to approach respondent No.2 before whom they have statedly moved a representation.

Respondent No.2 shall ascertain the truth in the allegations of the petitioners and would pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to assess the threat perception of the petitioners and would act in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with the facts of the case. Respondent No.2 shall form an independent opinion, without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

It would be appreciated, if needful in deciding the representation is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Petition stands disposed of.

**February 25, 2020**

*geeta*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Yes/No  
Yes/No