

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.02.25 14:38
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRWP-2042-2020

Date of Decision: 25.02.2020

Pooja Rani and Another

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate, for
Ms. Pooja Khosla, Advocate, for the petitioners

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated that both the petitioners have attained the age for a valid marriage. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 24.02.2020. Copy of marriage certificate dated 24.02.2020 (Annexure P-3) and photographs (Annexure P-4) are on record. Both the petitioners are stated to be residing at Patiala. Apprehending danger to their lives, at the instance of private respondents, who are the relatives of the petitioners, the present petition has been instituted. The allegations are also substantiated with the joint affidavit of the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. B.S.Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the matter and if he finds that apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners is real, he shall take appropriate steps to ensure their protection as he feels necessary, in such circumstances of the case.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage or any civil or criminal proceedings.

25.02.2020

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No