

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3234-1997

Date of decision: 27.2.2020

Smt. Sudershan Kumar and others

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - None for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

When this matter was taken up for hearing on 21.8.2019, Mr. Ruhani Chadha, learned counsel, stated that he has been engaged to appear for the first petitioner and sought time to take appropriate steps qua the service of notice upon the unserved respondents. Thereafter, when the matter was taken up again on 21.11.2019, this Court took note of the office report that the learned counsel had not taken any steps in terms of the earlier order dated 21.8.2019. Further, there was no appearance for the petitioners on that date. In the interest of justice, the matter was adjourned to 27.2.2020 to enable the petitioners to do the needful.

Today, yet again, there is no representation for the petitioners and the report of the Registry is that no steps have been taken by the learned counsel, till date, in terms of the order dated 21.8.2019.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

No order as to costs.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

27.2.2020

preeti

PREETI
2020.02.28 14:01
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no