

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-822-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.03.2020**

Rajinder Kumar Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. A. Venu Prasad, IAS

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner is relegated to his extraordinary or plenary civil remedy against an order which has come into existence after the directions of this Court in 2018 were issued to the respondent department in CWP No. 11742 of 2018 filed by the petitioner. That petition was disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the grievance of the petitioner in his representation/s within a period of three months.

2. Mr.Singla, submits that order is erroneous and is adverse to the interest of the petitioner. Even if he is right, the remedy is by way of filing a writ petition laying challenge to the adverse order dated 08.10.2018 (Annex P-7). The petitioner would remind himself or seek proper legal advice that if he had that remedy available in 2018 then I am sorry to say he has lost much time to ventilate his grievance in the proper forum to obtain relief. But he

cannot cherish the idea of getting the impugned order which has come into existence as a result of an order of this of this Court to decide his representation. Merits of the case are not touched in contempt jurisdiction. There is no willful or deliberate disobedience committed by the author of the adverse administrative order.

3. With these observations this contempt petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to present his grievance in the proper forum which exercises plenary jurisdiction over the subject matter and the cause of action, if any.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

02.03.2020
Satyawar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No