

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.8049 of 2020

Date of Decision:28.02.2020

Amit		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.58 dated 03.10.2019 registered under Sections 346, which has been deleted and Sections 376, 328, 506, 120-B IPC (added later on) at Police Station Nighdu, District Karnal to the petitioner, who is in custody since 10.12.2019.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said case, while further contending that matter has been investigated and challan has been presented, therefore, petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

3. Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes the bail application, while contending that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature and therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

5. Since the investigation is complete and challan has been presented and trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful

purpose would served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

February 28, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No