

COCP No.745 of 2020

Date of Decision : 01.12.2020

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Vijay Vardhan and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Learned DAG Haryana states that order dated 23.10.2019 allowing CWP No.13364 of 2018, has been stayed by Hon'ble the Division Bench in LPA No.565 of 2020, therefore, in the circumstances, no further orders are called for in the instant contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such.

3. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition, at this stage and the same may be disposed of, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if the circumstances of the case so warrant, after the decision of LPA No.565 of 2020.

4. The same is not opposed by learned State Counsel.

5. In the light of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed, at this stage with the liberty, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge**December 01, 2020**

'Rajneesh-Amit'