

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5133 of 2020

Date of decision: 26.02.2020

Ajay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Livestock Development Board and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was appointed as Maitri worker vide order Annexure P/10 dated 05.11.2019 in the office of respondent No.4 on monthly salary of ₹20,000/- plus incentive but till date not a single penny has been paid to the petitioner. Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/11 dated 25.12.2019 to the respondents in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and

decide representation Annexure P/11 dated 25.12.2019, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed, payment as per his entitlement be released to the petitioner within a period of one week thereafter, failing which, the same shall be paid along with interest @ 7.5% per annum w.e.f. the date of entitlement till realization.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

26.02.2020
rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |