

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4633-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2020**

Anita Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

A short reply on behalf of respondent No.3 along with Annexure R-3/1 and R-3/2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to counsel opposite.

Petitioner filed the instant writ petition assailing the action of the State Government in not granting to her the benefit of age relaxation in the advertisement dated 27.12.2018 at Annexure P-1 for the post of Craft Instructor (Women) Cutting and Sewing (Practical).

Upon notice of motion having been issued, a short reply of the Joint Director, Department of School Development and Industrial Training, Haryana on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 has been filed.

In para 5 of the written statement, it has been categorically averred that the offending advertisement dated 27.12.2018 has been withdrawn and a fresh advertisement dated 20.07.2019 stands issued and as per which the petitioner would be eligible to apply for the post.

A reference has also been made in the reply to a letter dated

03.07.2019 appended as Annexure R-1 and whereby a decision has been taken to afford benefit of relaxation in age to ad hoc/contract/work charged/daily wagers/outsourced employees so as to make them eligible to compete for regular recruitment.

In view of such categoric stand taken on behalf of the State, nothing would survive for adjudication in the instant writ petition.

Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

24.02.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |