

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP No.4908 of 2020

Date of Decision : 25.02.2020

Dheeraj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondent-Corporation to treat the petitioners at par with reinstated employees and not to change their nomenclature from daily wagers to employees through contractual agency.

[2] After arguing for some time, learned counsel prays that the petitioners be permitted to submit a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2 with directions being issued to decide the same on receipt in a time bound manner.

[3] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by granting liberty to the petitioners to submit a comprehensive representation to respondent No.2. In case, any such representation is submitted by the petitioners, the same be considered and decided by respondent No.2, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 25, 2020

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No