

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CWP No. 4713 of 2020

Date of Decision : 20.02.2020

Dhian Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner was entitled for regularization of his service as the person junior to him, had already been regularized but the benefit of regularization was not extended to the petitioner during his service career, which has resulted into the fact that the petitioner is not getting the pensionary benefits despite the fact that he rendered more than 10 years of service starting from June, 1986 onwards. The prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant him the benefit of regularization of his service with effect from the date, his junior, namely, Sh. Sukhdev Singh son of Sh. Gurmail Singh was regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 16.10.2018 (Annexure P-12),

which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 16.10.2018 (Annexure P-12) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 20, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*