

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

**CRWP No.1951 of 2020
Date of decision: 06.03.2020**

Sumit

..Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

At the very outset counsel for the petitioner makes a request to make correction in the head note and the relief clause of the petition. It is stated that he would like to incorporate the prayer for regular parole as against emergency parole. He is allowed to do so.

Let the necessary corrections be made in the petition in Court itself under signatures of counsel for the petitioner.

The instant petition has been filed for grant of parole to the petitioner namely Sumit who is in custody in FIR No.64, dated 02.04.2014, under Section 376-D of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner's sister has to be solemnized and is fixed for 14.03.2020 and being a brother, he would be required to perform certain ceremonies.

Notice of motion was issued pursuant to which, a reply has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State herein would submit that the factum of the marriage which is stated to take place on 14.03.2020 has been verified.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view all the facts and circumstances, since the marriage ceremony of sister of the petitioner who stands convicted, has been fixed for 14.03.2020, this Court deems it appropriate to allow him the regular parole for a period of two weeks .The authorities concerned to do the needful forthwith.

The petition is allowed in the above terms.

March 06, 2020
Poonam Sharma

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No