

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

**CRWP-1849-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.02.2020**

Pooja Saini and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners
with petitioners in person.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

CRM-W-102-2020

Prayer in the application filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for granting exemption to the petitioner from filing certified copy of all the Annexures attached with the petition and for placing on record the true typed/translated copies thereof.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the application is allowed to the extent that the petitioners are allowed to place on record typed copies of the Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2), Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-4) and Representation dated 17.02.2020 (Annexure P-5) and photostat copies of the Aadhar Cards and Marriage Certificate.

CRWP-1849-2020

The petitioners, aged 20 and 24 years respectively, have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the averments that the petitioners, being major, performed marriage on 17.02.2020 at Tridev Sanatan Dharam Prachar Samiti, Booth No. 249,

M.D.C, Sector 4, Panchkula, as per Hindu rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondent No. 4 and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at his instance.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, Asst.AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copy of paper book has been supplied to learned State counsel.

On being questioned by the Court, the petitioners, who are present in the Court and duly identified by their counsel, have admitted having solemnized marriage and reiterated their prayer for protection as mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 17.02.2020 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter and prays for issuance of direction in this regard.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has

been/is registered against petitioner No.2, then nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking of appropriate action by the police authorities against him in respect thereof in accordance with law.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani for requisite compliance.

19.02.2020

Rajeev (rvs)

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No