

216.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7662-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.10.2020

GURSHER SINGH @ SHERA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.L. Chander Shekhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

This is third petition filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.10 dated 14.04.2017 registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 376-G IPC and Sections 3, 4 of POCSO Act at Police Station Kabirpur, District Kapurthala. The earlier petition i.e. CRM-M-12886-2019 was dismissed as withdrawn on 27.03.2019, whereas the second petition i.e. CRM-M-32224-2019 was dismissed as withdrawn on 05.11.2019.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate, which is taken on record.

In view of the order of even date passed in ***CRM-M-26669-2019*** titled as ***Gurpreet Singh @ Sukha Versus State of Punjab***, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly. He shall not reside or visit the village where the prosecutrix is residing during the pendency of trial.

Since the applicant is admitted on bail, the application i.e. CRM-22479-2020 seeking interim bail has become infructuous and dismissed as such.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

05.10.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No