

144

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.1768 of 2020
Date of Decision: 17.02.2020**

**PREETI AND ANRPetitioners
Vs
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRespondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Petitioners in-person along with
Mr. Veenus Malik, Advocate.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

By way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions for the protection of their lives and personal liberties from being violated by private respondents.

Petitioners claimed themselves to be major and have contracted marriage on 16.02.2020 according to their voluntary act, but against the wishes of their family members. They have relied upon some documents/photographs in the context of validity of marriage. The have also moved a representation dated 16.02.2020 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ambala, District Ambala and Station House Officer, Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Malik, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage without meaning anything upon the

allegations contained in the petition and without commenting upon validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, I am of the view that the petitioners be relegated to approach respondent No.2 before whom they have allegedly moved representation.

Respondent No.2 shall ascertain the truth in the allegations of the petitioners and would pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to assess the threat perception of the petitioners and would act in accordance with law. He/She would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with the facts of the case. Respondent No.2 shall form his/her independent opinion, without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

It would be appreciated, if needful in the context of deciding the representation is done by respondent No.2 within a period of one month from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Petition stands disposed of.

February 17, 2020

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No