

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CR-1139-2019 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 15.01.2020

KAILASH KUMARI JAIN

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

SATPAL JAIN AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Malhotra, Advocate for the respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking directions to the Rent Controller to expeditiously decide rent petition preferred by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petition was filed in the year 2015 and the matter has been adjourned on one pretext or the other. He has drawn my attention to the order passed by the coordinate Bench on 19.07.2019, whereby explanation of the Rent Controller was sought as to why the matter has been delayed. The Rent Controller has sent his explanation wherein it has been explained as to why the matter has been adjourned and it is also stated that a serious and *bona fide* endeavour is being made to conduct the case on day to day basis.

I am satisfied with the explanation tendered by the Rent Controller. In view of the explanation of the Rent Controller, no further directions are called for in this petition which stands disposed of.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

15.01.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No