

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1181 of 2020.

Date of Decision:18.02.2020

Nirmal Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Narinder Mohan Mittal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Amar Vivek, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petition was preferred for eviction of the respondents from the premises in March, 2016, the evidence was closed by order dated 28.02.2019 and thereafter, the matter has been adjourned on one pretext or the other for final arguments. The Rent Controller be directed to dispose of the petition in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the limited prayer of the counsel for the petitioner, I intend to dispose of the matter without issuing notice to the respondents. As the evidence was closed in the matter a year ago and since then the matter is at the stage of final arguments, the request of learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be reasonable.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Rent Controller to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from now.

If the respondent is aggrieved by the order of this Court, he would be at liberty to approach this Court by filing an appropriate application.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.02.2020
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No