

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4737 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.11. 2020.**

Rohsan Lal and others

..... PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl.AG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioners in this writ petition, seek quashing of order dated 10.12.2019 (Annexure P-8), which was passed pursuant to directions dated 20.09.2019 in CWP No. 26840 of 2019.

The petitioners in the earlier writ petition i.e., CWP No. 26840 of 2019, had sought a direction to the respondents for restraining them from terminating their services. The petitioners were stated to be working on different posts with the respondent-college under the Outsourcing Policy. It was stated that the petitioners were orally told that their services were no longer required. The said writ petition was disposed of on 20.09.2019 with a direction to the Competent Authority to decide the petitioners' representation within a period of two months. Consequent thereto, impugned order dated 10.12.2019 was passed, wherein it is specifically observed that the petitioners were engaged *qua* non-

teaching unsanctioned posts under the outsourcing policy issued by the Chief Secretary, Haryana, vide letter no. 43/5/2001-1GSI dated 16.02.2019 through outsourcing agencies/ services provider. The tenured agreement of outsourcing agencies expired on 30.04.2019. The petitioners were stated to be employees of Outsourcing Agency, thus having no relations with the college. Accordingly, representation dated 30.08.2019, submitted by the petitioners, was rejected.

Aggrieved therefrom, present writ petition has been filed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the file with their assistance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that the matter is squarely covered against the petitioner in view of decisions of the Coordinate Benches of this Court in CWP No. 19762 of 2018, titled 'Vikash Vs. The State of Haryana and others', decided on 11.12.2019 and CWP No. 9081 of 2020, titled 'Sunita Soni and others Vs. State of Haryana and others', decided on 24.09.2020. The petitioners were admittedly engaged on a contractual basis through an outsourcing agency and their services were not extended. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any ground to distinguish the abovesaid decisions to make out a case in favour of the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed.

November 18, 2020.

's.khan'

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No