

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7160 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.02.2020

Naveen Kochar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Paramjit Batta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already complied with requirements of law in terms of Section 154 Cr.P.C. but still no action has been taken by the police. Even the representation submitted before the DGP vide Diary No.1096-SO-DGP dated 20.03.2019, 1021-SO-DGP dated 14.03.2019, 177/19 dated 03.05.2019 and 4164-SO-DG dated 12.10.2019 have gone unanswered.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

In the very nature of allegations and in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity to call upon any response from the official respondents.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner. If some cognizable offence is made out then the concerned SHO be directed to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is made out, then the petitioners be informed in terms of parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to assess the situation even by associating the concerned persons in the process of enquiry/investigation and thereafter, shall take independent view without being influenced any observation made hereinabove in accordance with law.

The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 17, 2020

geeta

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No