

(146) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4347 of 2020

Date of decision: 17.02.2020

Deshmesh Pal Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and anr.

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to release Provident Fund and Death-cum-Retirement Gratuity as well as other benefits to the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum w.e.f. date of entitlement till date of payment on account of untimely death of her husband while he was serving as Probationary Officer.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner submitted representations followed by legal notice as well as reminder, Annexure P/10 dated 19.12.2019 but to no avail, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[3] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim

made in the legal notice, Annexure P/10 dated 19.12.2019 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.02.2020
amit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.