

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7315 of 2019
Date of decision : 12.02.2020**

Baldev Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate for
Mr. Akash Jot Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (Oral)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in FIR No.63, dated 13.06.2010, registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 (325, 326 added later on) of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of FIR, along with all consequential proceedings, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties

and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jaitu, vide report dated 22.02.2019, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine, voluntary, without any threat, undue influence or coercion. None of the person involved in the present case has been declared as a proclaimed offender.

4. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jaitu and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.63, dated 13.06.2010, registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 (325, 326 added later on) of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot, (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

February 12, 2020

rittu

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No