

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1682-2020

Date of Decision:-04.03.2020

Sahnawaj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

The present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of writ in the nature of habeas corpus with a roving writ and with a prayer to appoint Warrant Officer to release the detenue mentioned in para 4 who are stated to be in illegal custody of respondents No.4 and 5.

Notice of motion was issued and thereafter the State has filed filed short reply by way of affidavit of Kumar Amit, IAS, District Patiala, in which it has been submitted in para 4 of the reply that on making inquiry by the constituted committee from the labour present at brick kiln, it was learnt that person namely Sahnawaj, who is petitioner in the present petition, never came at that brick kiln and nor he worked there. It is further stated in

the reply that the labour working at brick kiln does not want to go from there and it is further mentioned that at that time no person was found to be kept as bonded labour at brick kiln by the owner of by Jamadar and further it is mentioned that it is not a case of bonded labour.

On 26.2.2020 none appeared on behalf of petitioner and today also nobody has caused appearance on behalf of petitioner.

Therefore, in view of specific affidavit filed by the State to the effect that no person was found to be kept as bonded labour at brick kiln by the owner of by Jamadar of the brick kiln, this petition has no merits and the same is hereby dismissed.

March 04, 2020
Vijay Asija

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No