

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP No.4876 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.02.2020

Balram and others

....Petitioners

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the plea of the petitioners for granting job, keeping in view that similarly situated persons have already been extended benefit in view of announcement of the Chief Minister regarding grant of employment to one member each of the family of the oustees.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioners' land was acquired in the year 2007, whereas policy for providing job to oustees of land was issued on 09.11.2010 and despite other similarly situated candidates whose names are mentioned in paragraph No.11 of the writ petition having been granted employment under the policy in the year 2014, the petitioners who are similarly situated have not been granted appointment.

[3] Learned counsel contends that the petitioners submitted application for appointment in December, 2010, followed by reminder (Annexure P-11) dated 02.01.2015 and legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated

20.07.2017 but to no avail and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated 20.07.2017, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[4] Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 & 5 only.

[5] Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 & 5 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioners.

[6] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing the respondents to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated 20.07.2017, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 24, 2020
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No