

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

110

FAO-2826-2020 (O & M)

Date of Decision: September 02, 2020

HAWA SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....APPELLANTS

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Atul Bhatia, Advocate for the appellants.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The appellants have challenged the impugned order dated 14.11.2019 whereby in the review application, the original judgment dated 21.01.2019 passed by the Railway Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh, had been modified to the extent that the compensation would be paid only to the widow and children of the deceased.

Learned counsel for the appellants contends that the appellants are the parents of the deceased and the compensation of ₹1,50,000/- each which was ordered to be paid to them vide judgment dated 21.01.2019 passed by the Railway Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh was just and in accordance with law and did not warrant any modification.

Heard.

It is apparent from the perusal of the impugned order that a total amount of ₹8.00 lacs was payable as compensation to the legal heirs of the deceased. The Railway Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh vide order dated 21.01.2019 had directed that a sum of ₹2.00 lacs was payable to the widow, a

sum of ₹1.00 lac each to the minor children while a sum of ₹1,50,000/- each was payable to the appellants, who are the parents of the deceased. In the review application, it had been stated by the private respondents that appellant No.1 is a retired Government employee drawing pension and appellant No.2 is dependent on appellant No.1. I am in agreement with the reasoning adopted in the impugned order that as the appellant No.1 is a retired Government employee who is drawing handsome pension, appellant No.2 is his wife and dependent on him, the entire compensation would be payable to the widow and minor children of the deceased. Therefore, I do not find any illegality in the impugned order dated 14.11.2019 passed by the Railway claims Tribunal.

Consequently, the appeal stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 02, 2020

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No