

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CR No.1176 of 2020

Date of decision : 18.02.2020.

Dinesh

... Petitioner

Versus

Om Parkash and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 27.11.2019 passed by the Executing Court whereby his objections in the execution petition have been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was the *bonafide* purchaser of the suit land from vendee, namely, Jagdish and therefore, his objections ought to have been allowed.

Heard.

The petitioner was not originally impleaded as a party in the trial Court but subsequently, he had been impleaded as defendant No.2 before the trial Court. As the suit for specific performance had been decreed by the trial Court against the petitioner/defendant No.2 and the Executing Court cannot go beyond the decree, I do not find any illegality in the order rejecting the objections of the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

February 18, 2020

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No