

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4171 of 2020

Date of decision: 17.02.2020

Rajinder Prashad and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to consider and decide the statutory appeal No.85/2015, titled as 'Rajinder Prashad and others vs. The Managing Committee, The Mahendergarh Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Mahendergarh and another', in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2, waives service and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the statutory appeal No.85/2015, titled as Rajinder Prashad and

others vs. The Managing Committee, The Mahendergarh Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Mahendergarh and another’, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

17.02.2020.
rajesh.k.khurana

1. Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.