

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP No.4546 of 2020

Date of Decision : 19.02.2020

Balwan Singh

....Petitioner

v/s

State Information Commission and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Shailender Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel states that despite information sought for not having been supplied, second appeal filed by the petitioner on 25.11.2019 has not been decided, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the appeal, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

[3] Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the appeal filed by the petitioner i.e. Annexure P-9 dated 25.11.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 19, 2020

'Rajneesh'