

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7234-2020  
Decided on : 12.03.2020**

Om Parkash

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. AG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI Dharambir Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No. 436, dated 16.10.2019, under Section 306 IPC, registered at Police Station Azad Nagar, Hisar.

It has been *inter alia* contended that the allegations levelled in the FIR in question are not only fabricated, but it cannot be believed that even after 13 years of the solemnization of the marriage of the deceased with the petitioner, demand of dowry and money would have been made from the deceased. It has been further submitted that the complainant, who was the father of the deceased filed the FIR in question on account of emotions running very high on account of death of his daughter.

Heard.

A perusal of the FIR, which was registered soon after the occurrence in question reveals that the complainant has levelled specific allegations against the petitioner of not only harassing his deceased daughter, but also making demands of money from her. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR many a times, the deceased was compelled to

get money from her parents, for which, she would be often tortured and subjected to merciless beatings. So much so, just a couple of weeks prior to the occurrence in question, a demand of ₹ 1.5 lakhs had again been made from the deceased and when the complainant expressed his inability to accede to the said demand, his daughter was physically and mentally abused and harassed, leading to her suicide.

Therefore, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and the serious nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed. However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 12, 2020**

*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable: Yes/No*