

266.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11274-2019

Date of decision:16.01.2020

SAJAL JAIN

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.6 dated 03.01.2018 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506 & 34 of IPC at Police Station Sector 31, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1).

No one is present on behalf of the petitioner.

State has filed reply by way of affidavit of Moji Ram, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sarai, Faridabad, on behalf of respondent No.1, which is taken on record. As per the reply, during investigation, the petitioner was found involved in the matter. However, on 30.05.2019, Satinder Jain, who is the father of the petitioner, had joined the investigation and shared the information with the IO that the petitioner and Ankita have filed divorce petition in California, USA and has obtained divorce. These facts were got verified by Inspector/SHO, Police Station, Sector 31,

Faridabad and accordingly, untraced report was prepared. The said report is pending consideration for approval before the senior officers.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel which is based on the reply submitted by Moji Ram, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sarai, Faridabad, at this stage, this Court finds that nothing survives in the present petition and accordingly, the same is rendered infructuous. However, in case the untraced report filed by the police is not accepted by the trial Court, the petitioner would be at liberty to file a fresh petition for the relief claimed in the present petition or may avail any other remedy as available under law.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

16.01.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No