

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.7826 of 2020
Date of Decision: February 24, 2020

Rajinder Pal Singh @ Rinku & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Charanjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. by the petitioner for quashing of Complaint No.22 dated 26.07.2013, under Sections 307, 452, 427, 429, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54 and 59 Arms Act and the summoning order dated 05.09.2016 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda (Annexure P-2) on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel contends that the parties have amicably settled the dispute and has invited the attention of the Court to the compromise dated 26.01.2020 (Annexure P-3). However, during the course of hearing, it is not disputed that the trial is fixed for the defence evidence.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to accept the sole ground of compromise set up by the petitioners for invoking the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. considering the nature of offences and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Laxmi Narayan and others**, 2019 AIR (SC) 1296.

Dismissed.

February 24, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No