

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1599-2020

Date of decision-25.02.2020

Meena and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Pooja Khosla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 and 5 as they have solemnized marriage on 11.02.2020 against their wishes.

On 13.02.2020, State counsel was directed to find out the existence of alleged threat.

Learned State counsel has apprised that statements of the petitioners have been recorded. Copy thereof has been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners. In the statement, petitioner No.1 stated that she is happily residing with her husband in her matrimonial house and she has no threat from private respondents.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication and the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

25.02.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No