

CRM-M-6380-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6380-2020
Date of decision: 27.02.2020

Tannu Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Tarun Seth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Sulakha.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.127 dated 15.06.2019 registered under Section 354(d)2, 500, 504, 120-B and 201 IPC and Section 14 of POCSO Act and Section 67-A of Information & Technology Act at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR in question and she has nothing to do with the telephonic calls allegedly made to the girls of the village, who were undergoing football training in the village and thereafter had been indulging in character assassination of those girls after uploading fake voice recordings on the social media.

Learned counsel for the State has vehemently opposed the grant of

CRM-M-6380-2020

anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that the voice sample of the petitioner had been sent to the FSL and the same had matched with the voice of the petitioner and hence, her involvement in the crime in question is very evident.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the allegations levelled against the petitioner in the FIR.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

27.02.2020
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No