

**207**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 6392 of 2020  
Date of Decision: 11.08.2020

Maya Devi

-Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

-Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Ms. Suman Sagar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.354 dated 12.10.2019 under Sections 306, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

Petitioner is mother-in-law of deceased Meena, whose marriage was solemnized in the year 2002 with Mahabir (son of the petitioner). The couple was blessed with two children namely Lucky aged 09 years and Pratiksha aged 07 years.

It has been alleged that husband of the deceased i.e. Mahabir was having drinking habits and used to harass the

deceased Meena.

Allegation against the petitioner is that she took her ornaments from nose, ear and neck. Meena went missing. On 12.10.2019, her dead body was found floating in a water tank.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that since the date of marriage in the year 2002 till date, there was no such complaint of harassment at the instance of the petitioner. Even the date of alleged taking of ornaments from nose, ear and neck of the deceased has not come forth.

Ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC are not attracted as there is no concerted effort made by the petitioner for commission of offence under Section 306 IPC.

Petitioner is in custody since 15.11.2019.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Krishan states that challan has been presented, but charges have not been framed so far.

Due to the situation arising out of pandemic COVID-19, there are bleak chances of further progress in the trial of the case.

Petitioner is a lady. Her complicity would be debatable at the threshold of ingredients of offence under Section 306 IPC.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and

circumstances of the case and without meaning anything on the merits of the case, petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to her furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

11.08.2020  
*Jyoti Sharma*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No