

110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.02.17 12:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-6450-2020

Date of Decision: 13.02.2020.

Ajay Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and Others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

Precisely, the grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that he is the son-in-law of Kushal Singh Rana (Deceased), after whose death, the accused, as mentioned in the representation/complaint, have withdrawn the money from the bank through ATM and wrongfully retained the car and FDRs pertaining to the deceased.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in this regard, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 05.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) to respondent no.2.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of allegations as put forth in the representation, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

13.02.2020.

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No