

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP No.4031 of 2020

Date of Decision : 14.02.2020

Promila and others

....Petitioners

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sansaniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have submitted representation (Annexure P-4) dated 12.12.2019 in respect of the grievances, which are the subject matter of the writ petition, but the same has not been decided, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[2] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-4) dated 12.12.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

**(B.S. Walia)
Judge**

February 14, 2020

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No