

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 3849 of 2020

Date of Decision : 13.02.2020

Kans Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the claim raised by the petitioner is that as she has performed the duties of Head Teacher, though on current duty charge, therefore, keeping in view the duties performed by her on a higher post, she is entitled for the grant of additional increment in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 9125 of 2014, titled as **Sukhraj Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another** (Annexure P-8), and also the pensionary benefits on the basis of last pay drawn in terms of the order passed by the Division Bench in LPA No. 37 of 2017, decided on 25.09.2018 (Annexure P-9).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-10) and

the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the demand notice dated 12.11.2018 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

February 13, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*