

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3934 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 26, 2020**

Pradhan Co-operative Transport Society Ltd. and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are having valid permits to ply buses on Gurgaon, Ballabgarh and Faridabad route but respondent Nos.6 to 11, who are the officials of the Haryana Roadways are creating hindrance for the smooth plying of their buses as the buses are stopped illegally by them due to which, the petitioners are suffering prejudice. The prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.1 to 5 to take appropriate action so that, the petitioners are not prevented in any manner in plying of their buses by respondent Nos.6 to 11, which according to them are being plied in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioners had already approached the respondents by filing representations which have been attached with the writ petition as Annexures P-4 and P-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that as no action was being taken by the respondents, the petitioners have also issued a legal notice dated 12.08.2019 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents for taking

appropriate action so that no hindrance is caused for the smooth plying of the buses on the route for which the petitioners have a valid permit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.12 to decide the same in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or on the claim being made by the petitioners, respondent No.12 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 12.08.2019 (Annexure P-6), by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

February 26, 2020

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No