

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

127

CWP-3659-2020

Date of Decision: 11.2.2020

Seema Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.

PRESENT: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana
accepts notice on the asking of the court.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to
report, Annexure P-7, annexed with the writ petition and submits that a
perusal thereof makes it clear that there is encroachment on the road in front
of the house of the petitioner. According to him, no action is being taken by
the concerned authority despite the finding given by the Estate Officer.

Petition is disposed of with the direction that the matter be
looked into by the Administrator, HSVP of the concerned area and
necessary steps be taken, at the earliest.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

February 11, 2020
gbs

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No