

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.236

CWP No.3676 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: February 18, 2020

GURMEJ SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel submits that the revision petition was taken up on 08.01.2020 but no arguments on the stay application were addressed. Thus, notice was issued for 01.04.2020. In case, the petitioners argue the stay application on 01.04.2020, the same shall be considered and decided on the said date.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that another stay application was moved on 05.02.2020. The same has not been considered.

3. It is not the case of the petitioners that no stay application was filed along with revision petition. If the said application was not argued on the date of preliminary hearing, no benefit can be sought by filing a second application.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition does not survive and is disposed of as such.

February 18, 2020
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No