

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4033 of 2020

Date of Decision: February 14, 2020

Makhan Lal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Superintending Canal Officer and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners is that against impugned order dated 12.12.2019, an appeal titled as *Makhan Lal and others vs. Satnam Singh* has been filed before the Superintending Canal Officer but no date has been given therein nor stay application is being decided. Meanwhile, active steps have been taken to implement the order dated 12.12.2019.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1. Let copy of the paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.

Mr. R.S. Chauhan, Advocate appears for respondents No. 2 and 3 and waive service.

Considering the nature of the prayer made in the writ petition, filing of written statement is dispensed with.

The writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 1 to decide the prayer for stay within one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Till decision of the stay application, status quo shall be maintained.

February 14, 2020
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No