

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-28294-2020 in/and
CRM-M-6233-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.11.2020

Ram Parkash @ Bittu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-28294-2020

Application is allowed.

The hearing of the main case is advanced to today and the same is taken on Board for disposal..

Main case

At the outset, the attention of the learned counsel has been drawn to the assertions made in para 11 of the petition, which reads as under:-

“11. That the no such or similar petition for regular bail has earlier been filed by the petitioner in this Hon'ble Court except CRM-M No.11908 of 2019 and CRM-M No.46993 of

2019 which were withdrawn at that stage now no such or similar petition is filed or pending before the Hon'ble Supreme court of India or before any other court.”

On being confronted, learned counsel for the petitioner has admitted that CRM-M-46993-2019 was not dismissed as withdrawn but dismissed on merits.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the petition.

Ordered accordingly.

26.11.2020

Pawan

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**