

CRM-M-5817-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5817-2020

Date of Decision: 17.02.2020

Bikramjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.127 dated 10.08.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, under Sections 302, 364, 148, 149, 473 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

The present FIR was registered on the statement of Gurjit Kaur wife of Dilbagh Singh. As per the complainant, gang of Davinder Singh, alias Davinder Shooter took her husband, Dilbagh Singh, with them on 08.08.2016. As per the supplementary statement suffered by her on 15.9.2016, the petitioner was also with Davinder Singh, alias Davinder Shooter, when her husband was taken away, whereas the petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name surfaced only in the supplementary

CRM-M-5817-2020

including the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kanwaljit Singh, argues that there are two gangs, one headed by Davinder Singh, alias Davinder Shooter and another by Bobby Malhotra. Dilbagh Singh was taken away from his house by Davinder Singh, alias Davinder Shooter and members of his gang, including the other co-accused. He further states that there are three other FIRs registered against the petitioner. He further states that out of 30 prosecution witnesses, 14 witnesses have been examined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that co-accused Malkit Singh, alias Nawab has been granted the concession of regular bail by a Coordinate Bench, vide order dated 17.12.2019 passed in CRM-M-49422-2018.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 12.01.2017. The trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

17.02.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No