

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1370-2020

Date of decision:10.2.2020

SHAHINA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to provide protection to them as they apprehend threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 11.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major. Learned counsel submits that although the petitioner No.1 solemnized marriage with respondent No.10 but the marriage did not work out well and respondent No.10 used to torture petitioner No.1 on petty issues. Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that in fact petitioner No.2 is also a married man but he has been taking care of petitioner No.1 and they are residing together happily. Learned counsel has submitted that the said live-in relationship of the petitioners is however not acceptable to their relatives especially to private

respondents No.4 to 11 who have been consistently issuing threats to eliminate the petitioners. It is further been submitted that although a representation (Annexure P-3) was submitted to Superintendent of Police, Nuh District Nuh, but no action has been taken thereupon.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and while refraining from making any expression as regards veracity of the averments made in the petition, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to look into the matter and to dispose of representation (Annexure P-3) expeditiously in accordance with law.
4. A copy of this order along with copy of representation (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.
5. It is however clarified that aforesaid order shall not be construed any kind of immunity upon the petitioners, in case, it is found that they had committed any wrong rendering them liable for civil or criminal liability.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

10.2.2020
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No