

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.206

**CRM-M-5647-2020**

**Date of decision : 6.10.2020**

Jasbir @ Jassa

..... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.239 dated 6.7.2012, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak, under Sections 3/4 of Explosive Act, 15-16 of Petrol & Pipe Lines Act, Sections 3 and 4 of Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act and Sections 511 and 379 of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for almost 02 years. The co-accused have since been acquitted. The trial of the petitioner was delayed as he was declared a proclaimed offender. He further submits that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as 12 more PWs remain to be examined. Thus, the petitioner may be released on regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. However, he opposes the prayer for regular bail on the ground that a large number of cases have been registered against the petitioner in some of which, he has been convicted.

Affidavit dated 11.9.2020 on behalf of the State is on record.

According to this affidavit, the petitioner has either been acquitted

or convicted in most of the cases. Thus, only a few cases are pending, in which, the petitioner is on bail.

Keeping in view the custody period already undergone by the petitioner as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date and that pendency of other criminal cases cannot come in his way as the petitioner cannot kept in custody, indefinitely, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to him. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE

6.10.2020  
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No