

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1323-2020

Date of decision:-17.2.2020

Sandeep and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Johny, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.6 and 7.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7 and filed vakalatnama on their behalf, which is taken on record. He states that such respondents have not interfered in the marital life of the petitioners till date nor they intended to do so in future.

Learned State counsel has filed written reply in the form of affidavit of Anil Kumar, HPS, DSP, Badhra District Dadri on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in which it is contended that the petitioners have neither submitted any representation nor have approached the answering

respondents for providing security. However, upon receipt of copy of petition, an inquiry was got conducted through SHO PS Badhra and it was found that petitioners are not residing in the house of petitioner No.1 at village Nandha and an FIR No.59 dated 4.2.2020, under Section 346 IPC has been lodged by Babu Lal, which is under investigation and petitioner No.2 has not been traced so far. It has further been contended that adequate security to the petitioners shall be provided if need arises and it shall also be ensured that that lives and liberty of the petitioners shall not be infringed.

In view of the above situation, the present petition does not survive and is dismissed accordingly.

17.2.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No