

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

253

**CRWP-1326-2020
Date of Decision : 25.02.2020**

Prem and othersPetitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh Rai, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 to 12.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from danger at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 12 on the averments that petitioners No.1 and 2 have solemnized marriage on 27.01.2020 as per Christian rites and ceremonies in Sadh Sunter Singh Church, Morinda after conversion by petitioner No.2 from Hindu religion to Christianity against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 12.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 10.02.2020, directed respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula to look into the grievances of the petitioners and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty.

As per office report, respondents No.4 to 12 have been duly served but none has appeared for respondents No.4 to 12.

No report has been filed by respondent No.2 but learned State Counsel has submitted that respondents No.4, 8, 10 and 12 have made statements to the concerned Police Officer from Police Post, Sector 16, Panchkula that they have no objection in petitioner No.2 residing with her husband petitioner No.1 and they have not threatened the petitioners and will not interfere in their marriage life.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that now there is no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners and the petition may be disposed of accordingly.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken on the petition which is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek restoration of the petition for protection of their life and liberty in case of apprehension of any danger by them from respondents No.4 to 12.

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

25.02.2020
Kothiyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No